

## Antitumour Activity of Sphingoid Base Adducts of Phenethyl Isothiocyanate

Ke Xu and Paul J. Thornalley\*

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester, Essex CO4 3SQ, UK

Received 5 August 1999; accepted 15 October 1999

**Abstract**—N-(N'-Phenethylthiocarbamoyl) derivatives of sphingosine and sphinganine were prepared. They had antitumour activity:  $GC_{50}$  values of  $0.64 \pm 0.02~\mu M$  (N=18) and  $1.6 \pm 0.01~\mu M$  (N=18), respectively, with human leukaemia 60 cells in vitro. This antitumour effect may contribute to the suppression of carcinogenesis associated with dietary phenethyl isothiocyanate and sphingolipid bases. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Sphingosine-derived second messenger molecules coordinate signalling for cell proliferative and apoptosis responses. 1,2 Ceramide derivatives are of interest for development of therapeutic agents in the treatment of cancer, allergy and other diseases. The balance of ceramide and sphingosine-1-phosphate signalling may be modified pharmacologically with synthetic ceramide derivatives, *N*-acetyl- *N*-butyryl- and *N*-hexanoyl-sphingosine, and by inhibitors of sphingosine kinase–*N*,*N*-dimethylsphingosine. Recent research has suggested that ceramides and ceramide metabolites derived from the diet may be inhibitors of colon carcinogenesis. 4

Isothiocyanates, such as phenethyl isothiocyanate (PEITC), have recently been of intense interest for their anti-carcinogenic activities and potential use in the chemoprevention of cancer.<sup>5</sup> They also have anticancer activity in vitro. PEITC inhibited the growth of human leukaemia 60 (HL60) cells in vitro and induced apoptosis.<sup>6</sup> Sphingoid base adducts of PEITC may be functionally involved in the induction of apoptosis.

D-Sphingosine or DL-sphinganine (20 mM) and PEITC (20 mM) in methanol (0.8 ml) was incubated at 37°C for 2 days. <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis indicated the formation of PETC-sphingosine (1) and PETC-sphinganine (2): the

α-CH<sub>2</sub> of PEITC had a chemical shift of 2.97 ppm and changed to 2.87 ppm and 2.88 ppm in the PETC adducts, respectively. MALDI-MS gave M + 1/z values of 463 and 465, and the yields were 87 and 92%, respectively. These compounds, PEITC, sphingosine and sphinganine were evaluated for anti-tumour activity, determining the median growth inhibitory concentration  $GC_{50}$  and median toxic concentration  $TC_{50}$ values with human leukaemia (HL-60) cells in vitro as described.<sup>6</sup> The anti-proliferative effects of sphingosine and sphinganine<sup>7,8</sup> were confirmed. PETC-sphingosine was a more potent inhibitor of HL60 cell growth than either PEITC or sphingosine, whereas PETC-sphinganine was less potent than either PEITC or sphinganine. All the compounds had similar potency but PEITC lost anti-proliferative activity after 3 h in culture medium due to spontaneous hydrolysis to phenethylamine. PEITC and sphingoid bases have both been associated with the prevention of cancer (Table 1).<sup>5,9</sup> Antitumour effects may suppress the growth of pre-clinical tumours and make additional contributions to the well-established decreased cancer incidence associated with a vegetable-rich diet. 10 In PETC-sphingosine, the methylene-amide moiety -CH2C=O-NH- found in ceramide derivatives has been replaced by a thiourea group –NH– C=S-NH-. These classical isosteric modifications may be used to readily produce ceramide analogues with different biological half-lives and pharmacological activities to ceramide itself. The sphinganine isomer Safingol (L-threo-dihydrosphingosine) is currently under clinical evaluation for antitumour activity.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +44-1206-873-333; fax: +44-1206-872-592; e-mail: thorp@essex.ac.uk

**Table 1.** Inhibition of human leukaemia 60 cell growth in vitro by PETC-sphingoid base derivatives

Compound	$GC_{50}$ ( $\mu$ M) Mean $\pm$ S.D. ( $N$ )	$TC_{50} (\mu M)$ Mean $\pm$ S.D. (N)
PEITC PETC-sphingosine PETC-sphinganine Sphingosine Sphingosine	$\begin{array}{c} 0.84 \pm 0.01 \; (18) \\ 0.64 \pm 0.02 \; (18) \\ 1.60 \pm 0.01 \; (18) \\ 1.24 \pm 0.01 \; (18) \\ 0.49 \pm 0.01 \; (18) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.37 \pm 0.02 \ (18) \\ 2.53 \pm 0.07 \ (18) \\ 2.37 \pm 0.17 \ (18) \\ 2.42 \pm 0.09 \ (18) \\ 2.55 \pm 0.01 \ (18) \end{array}$

## Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, UK.

## References

1. Jarvis, W. D.; Fornari, F. A.; Auer, K. L.; Freemerman,

- A. J.; Szado, E.; Birrer, M. J.; Johnson, C. R.; Barbour, S. E.; Dent, P.; Grant, S. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **1997**, *52*, 935.
- 2. Cuvillier, O.; Pirianov, G.; Kleuser, B.; Vanek, P. G.; Coso, O. A.; Gutkind, J. S.; Speigel, S. *Nature* **1996**, *381*, 800.
- 3. Sakai, T.; Koezuka, Y. Expert Opinion On Therapeutic Patents 1999, 8, 1673.
- 4. Schmelz, E. M.; Merrill, A. H. Nutrition 1998, 14, 717.
- 5. Hecht, S. S. J. Cell Biochem. (suppl.) 1995, 22, 195.
- 6. Adesida, A.; Edwards, L. G.; Thornalley, P. J. Food Chem. Toxicol. 1996, 34, 385.
- 7. Jarvis, W. D.; Fornari, F. A.; Traylor, R. S.; Martin, H. A.; Kramer, L. B.; Erukulla, R. K.; Bittman, R.; Grant, S. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1996**, *271*, 8275.
- 8. Ohta, H.; Sweeney, E. A.; Masamune, A.; Yatomi, Y.; Hakomori, S. I.; Igarashi, Y. Cancer Res. 1995, 55, 691.
- 9. Schmelz, E. M.; Dillehay, D. L.; Webb, S. K.; Reiter, A.; Adams, J.; Merrill, A. H. *Cancer Res.* **1996**, *56*, 4936.
- 10. Block, G.; Patterson, B.; Subar, A. Nutrition and Cancer 1992, 18, 1.
- 11. Schwartz, G. K.; Ward, D.; Saltz, L.; Casper, E. S.; Spiess, T.; Mullen, E.; Woodworth, J.; Venuti, R.; Zervos, P.; Storniolo, A. M.; Kelsen, D. P. Clin. Cancer Res. 1997, 3, 537.